Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/691,590	KOCHHAR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cathy K. Worley	1638
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Cathy K. Worley.	(3)	
(2) <u>Bob Barrett</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 November 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claim #9  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed an examiner's amendment to change the dependency of claim 9 because it currently depends from claim 6 which has been canceled. In a telephone message, left for the examiner on Nov. 16, 2006, the Attorney agreed to an amendment that will make claim 9 depend from claim 8.